Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/813,163	LIU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HRAYR A. SAYADIAN	2814	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):		
(1) HRAYR A. SAYADIAN.	(3)		
(2) Richard Turner.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 12 February 2010.			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representat	tive]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☑ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 48.			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached.	g) was not reached. h)] N/A.	
Substance of interview including description of the genereached, or any other comments: <u>In response to examineuror of a comma at the end instead of a period, applicative organization armor.</u>	ers message explaining that c	laim 48 has a typographical	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attach	copy of the amendments that		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to 1 GVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTerquirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	he last Office action has alrea R OF ONE MONTH OR THIR ITERVIEW SUMMARY FOR!	dy been filed, APPLICANT IS RTY DAYS FROM THIS M, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO	
/HRAYR A SAYADIAN/ Examiner, Art Unit 2814	/Wael M Fahmy/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Ar	1 Unit 2814	

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPDP), Section 113.04, Substance of Interview Most be Made of Record
A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, wideo conference, or belighbore interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the
application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete witten statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135 (35.U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR 51.2 Business to be transacted in writing
All business with the Patient or Transferrank Office should be business with the Patient or Transferrank Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patient and Transferrank Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patient and Transferrank Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any allegor or our pomes, spirulation, or undestanding in relation to which there is designeement of doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the alternary or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless

the examiner industine the order to ill do so. It is the examiner's insprombeility to sell that is strong a make and to covered missional inscrudances when their device the direction of the authority of the production of the production of the authority of the production of the prod

out byogopointed errors or unreadable sorpt in Office actions on the like, are excluded from the interview reconstant in procedure below. Where the substance of an institute is completely secretic an Examensa Americani, conspensa institute or Summary Rocco on equipment.

The interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the fire, and lated on the Chamber action of the fire wrapper. In a personal interview, a substant of the Form is great to the applicant for software or against all the software and the software action of the fire wrapper. In a personal interview, a substant of the Form is great to the applicant for software and the software of the software and the software and the software and resident of the fire of the software and resident of the software and r

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- The Form provides for recordation of the following information
 Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
 Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)

circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contain.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)
- It is desirable that the examiner onally remind the applicant of the or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the interview Summary Form will not normally be considered an complete and proper recordation of the interview unterest includes, or a supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the existence of the confidence of the contract of the
 - e of the interview.

 A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
 - 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
 - 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
 - an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
 - an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner
 - a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not
 - required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphissize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.
 - a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
 if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the interview Summary Form completed by
- the examiner: Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paner recording the substance of the interview alone with the date and the examiner's initials.